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**ABSTRACT:** This paper investigates the problem of controlling a team of quadrotors that cooperatively transport a common payload. The main contribution of this study is to propose a cooperative control algorithm based on a decentralized algorithm. This strategy is comprised of two main steps: the first one is calculating the basic control vectors for each quadrotor using Moore–Penrose theory aiming at cooperative transport of an object and the second one is combining these vectors with individual control vectors, which are obtained from a closed-loop non-linear robust controller. In this regard, a nonlinear robust controller is designed based on Second Order Sliding Mode (SOSMC) approach using Extended Kalman-Bucy Filter (EKBF) to estimate the unmeasured states which is capable of facing external disturbances. The distinctive features of this approach include robustness against model uncertainties along with high flexibility in designing the control parameters to have an optimal solution for the nonlinear dynamics of the system. Design of the controller is based on Lyapunov method which can provide the stability of the end-effector during the tracking of the desired trajectory. Finally, simulation results are given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method for the cooperative quadrotors to transport a common payload in various maneuvers.

1- Introduction

The cooperative control of multiple vehicle systems despite its wide range of practical applications requires tackling important theoretical and practical challenges which have attracted many researchers in recent years. Formation control problems for multiple vehicle systems can be categorized with applications to unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), autonomous underwater vehicles, cooperative transport, mobile robots, cooperative role assignment and cooperative search. In this paper, we seek to drive a control algorithm for cooperation between quadrotors that allow the robots to control their position and angles to grasp and transport a common payload in various maneuvers. The controller is designed to move the object by two or more quadrotors. To make a framework for interplay between a group of quadrotors and payload, many control schemes have been extensively used to solve the problems of creating formation control for UAVs. Some of them have focused on centralized and leader-follower approach to access interaction between cooperative quadrotors and payload [1-7]. Although these methods have acceptable results on small robotic systems but suffer from several disadvantages including high computational complexity of centralized methods in large-scale systems and possibility of disappearing the group formation in leader-follower strategy due to not receiving the position of leader by the followers.

In this regard, there is a plethora of research in cooperative multi-robot controller design based on decentralized control methods which can solve a significant number of problems in cooperative control strategies and benefit also from the advantages such as decreased number of sensors and fast performance. In [8], the problem of cooperation by a team of ground robots is addressed under quasi-static assumptions based on decentralize controllers considering a unique solution to robot and object motion. Also, transporting a payload by aerial manipulation using cables based on decentralized control is studied in [9,10]. In other research, cooperative aerial towing-based decentralized mechanism is studied [11]. The authors of [12] employ bilinear matrix inequalities to present optimal solutions for decentralized nonlinear multi-agent systems. Despite the numerous advantages of decentralized methods, there are still some critical issues related to the performance of the control system in presence of disturbances and uncertainties.

On the other hand, some of the most popular control schemes for uncertain nonlinear systems are based on discontinuous schemes; in particular, sliding mode controllers (SMC) have shown robustness against uncertainties and matched disturbances [13-18]. Moreover, the finite-time convergence of SMC as well as their simplicity have made them suitable for a large variety of applications such as designing a robust formation control scheme. A high order sliding mode concept can effectively reduce the chattering while keeping the invariant characteristics in the sliding mode. Some successful implementations of high order (second order) SMC schemes in UAVs have been reported in [19,20]. Also, in [21] a robust second order sliding mode controller was proposed for the attitude stabilization of a four-rotor helicopter. This controller was able to overcome the chattering phenomena in classical sliding mode control while preserving the invariance property of sliding mode. In [22], using an equivalent
approach, the performance of control system was improved by using a second-order sliding mode control (SOSMC) for second-order uncertain plants. An adaptive SOSMC with a nonlinear switching surface was proposed in [23] and a linear switching surface was proposed for similar underactuated systems in [24]. Wind as a disturbance source has also been considered in the flight process of the quadrotor to demonstrate the robustness of the control algorithm [25,26]. So, SOSMC is a suitable method for controlling uncertain (and possibly disturbed) nonlinear systems, specially UAVs, where the necessity of robust tracking schemes, as well as fast convergent algorithms is crucial.

Hence, in this paper, a decentralized robust optimal controller is designed for a group of UAVs in a formation which enables manipulation of a common payload in three dimensions. Our cooperative control algorithm is comprised of two parts. In the first part, basic control vectors, which guarantee the optimal performance of the coupled system, are determined using Moore-Penrose theory. In the second part, individual control vectors are designed for each quadrotor which can guarantee robustness of the coupled system against uncertainties and disturbances. Moreover, a combination of second-order sliding mode controller with an optimal cooperative algorithm is employed to control all the quadrotors such that a soft touching occurs between the object being carried and the cooperative quadrotors. A nonlinear filter equivalent to well-known Extended Kalman-Bucy filter (EKBF) in a continuous-time stochastic system has also been developed in our work to improve the estimation accuracy and robustness of the system. The simple design and implementation and accurate estimation of state variables under noisy condition make EKBF suitable for robotic manipulators and other industrial applications.

Finally, the proposed controller successfully rejects the external disturbances (e.g. wind effect) for cooperative quadrotors. The other advantages of using this approach are from simple implementation and flexible parameter learning to design criteria customization. The simulation results show the effectiveness and superior performance of the proposed control strategy for transporting a common payload in various maneuvers by cooperative quadrotors.

The subsequent parts of this paper are as it follows. First of all, developing a model for a single quadrotor and modeling of a team of UAVs rigidly attached to a payload is discussed in Section 2. In Section 3, the Extended Kalman Bucy Filter is described briefly. Section 4 proposes cooperative control algorithms defined with respect to the payload that stabilizes the object along three dimensional trajectories based on a SOSMC incorporated with optimal Moore-Penrose theory. We review and analyze experimental study with teams of quadrotors cooperatively grasping, stabilizing, and transporting payloads in Section 5. It includes point-to-point path planning and trajectory tracking. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper with some future improvement suggestions.

2- Mathematical Modeling and Coordinate Reference Frame

2-1- Dynamic Model of single quadrotor

Fig.1 shows the configuration of our quadrotor system that can fly in all directions and has no limit on maneuver. Two main reference frames are considered: model frame, \( E = \{ E, e, e \} \), and the body-fixed reference frame, \( B = \{ B, b, b \} \). In this nomenclature, \( \xi = [x, y, z] \) and \( \eta = [\varphi, \theta, \psi] \) are the position and orientation vectors in reference \( E \). \( F(i=1,2,3,4) \) is the thrust force produced by four rotors. The full quadrotor dynamical model with the \( x, y, z \) motions as outcome of a pitch, roll and yaw rotation is [27]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\varphi} &= \hat{\varphi} \left( \frac{L_y-L_z}{L_x} \right) - \frac{j_r}{L_x} \Omega_x + \frac{1}{L_x} U_x + \omega_x \\
\dot{\theta} &= \hat{\theta} \left( \frac{L_z-L_x}{L_y} \right) - \frac{j_r}{L_y} \Omega_y + \frac{1}{L_y} U_y + \omega_y \\
\dot{\psi} &= \hat{\psi} \left( \frac{L_x-L_y}{L_z} \right) + \frac{1}{L_z} U_z + \omega_z \\
x &= \left( \cos \varphi \sin \theta \cos \psi + \sin \varphi \sin \psi \right) \frac{1}{m_1} U_1 + \omega_x \\
y &= \left( \cos \varphi \sin \theta \sin \psi - \sin \varphi \cos \psi \right) \frac{1}{m_1} U_1 + \omega_y \\
z &= -g \left( \cos \varphi \cos \theta \right) \frac{1}{m_1} U_1 + \omega_z
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
U_1 \\
U_2 \\
U_3 \\
U_4
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
b & b & b & b \\
0 & 0 & -b & 0 \\
- b & 0 & 0 & b \\
-k & -k & k & k
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Omega_1 \\
\Omega_2 \\
\Omega_3 \\
\Omega_4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

in which \( m_1 \) is considered as mass of one quadrotor; also \( b \) and \( k \) represent the thrust and drag coefficient, respectively. The input \( U_1 \) is related to the total thrust; while the inputs \( U_2, U_3, U_4 \) are related to the rotations of the quadrotor and \( \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z, \omega_{\psi}, \omega_{\theta}, \omega_{\varphi} \) are external disturbances, \( j_r \) denotes the inertia of the \( z \)-axis and \( \Omega = \Omega_x + \Omega_y + \Omega_z + \Omega_4 \) is the overall residual propeller angular speed. The model in Eq. (1) can be rewritten in a state-space form, \( X = f(X, U) \), where

\[
X = [x_1, \ldots, x_{12}]^T = [\varphi, \theta, \psi, \varphi, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}]
\]

is the state vector of the system.

Assumption 1. It is assumed that the roll and yaw angle satisfy the conditions \( |\varphi(t)| < \pi/2 \), \( |\psi(t)| < \pi/2 \) and \( |\theta(t)| < \pi \) for \( t \geq 0 \)

2-2- Dynamic Model of Coupled System

According to Fig.2, we consider a group of 4 quadrotors jointed through a fixed interaction to the cross object.
The axes of the coupled system are described as body frame axes and each UAV has an individual body frame \( Q_i \) where \( i = [1,2,\ldots,n] \) and \( n \) is the number of UAVs in the group. For extracting the dynamic model of the coupled system we assumed the quadrotors are rigidly connected to the object and also, the center of mass of the system is intended as the origin of the rigid-body coordinate system. Therefore, the motion formulation for each quadrotor can be written as:

\[
m_i \ddot{z}_{q_i} = -m_i g + F_{u_i} \tag{3}
\]

where \( F_{u_i} \) is lift force generated by each quadrotor. By applying Newton’s second law to the payload, we have:

\[
m_i \ddot{z} = m_i g + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{u_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \ddot{z}_{q_i} \tag{4}
\]

where \( m_i \) is the mass of the object. Since, all the forces are used for lifting and moving toward the positive \( z \) direction, the equation of motion for the coupled system can be easily written as:

\[
m \ddot{z} = -mg + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{u_i} = m \ddot{z} = m_1 \ddot{z}_1 + m_2 \ddot{z}_2 + m_3 \ddot{z}_3 + m_q \ddot{z}_q + m_t \dddot{z}_t \tag{5}
\]

which \( F_{u_i} \) is the total lift force imposed by all quadrotors and \( m \) is sum of the quadrotors and object’s mass. Hence, the rotor forces can be rewritten as a total force from each quadrotor \( F_{u_i} \). By considering each UAV’s generated forces and moments in its own frame, we need to develop a relationship between the behavior of the system and the states. Finally, the equation of motion for the coupled system is obtained as follows [28]:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{u_i} \\
M_{x_{q_i}} \\
M_{y_{q_i}} \\
M_{z_{q_i}}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
y_i & \cos y_i & -\sin y_i & 0 \\
-x_i & \sin y_i & \cos y_i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{u_i} \\
M_{x_{q_i}} \\
M_{y_{q_i}} \\
M_{z_{q_i}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( M_{x_{q_i}}, M_{y_{q_i}}, M_{z_{q_i}} \) are the body moments in the \( Q_i \) direction as shown in Fig. 2. Also, \( F_{u_i} \), \( F_{q_{x_{q_i}}}, F_{q_{y_{q_i}}}, F_{q_{z_{q_i}}} \) are total forces from each quadrotor and \( M_{x_{q_i}}, M_{y_{q_i}}, M_{z_{q_i}} \) are moments around each quadrotor’s body frame axis.

### 3- Nonlinear Observer Based on EKBF

The Extended Kalman Bucy Filter is considered as an optimal recursive estimation algorithm for calculating the states of a nonlinear stochastic system with uncorrelated Gaussian process and measurement noise. EKBF is designed by determining the filtering and prediction equations. Consider a nonlinear stochastic system with uncorrelated Gaussian process and measurement noise as it follows [29-31]:

\[
dx(t) = f(x(t), t)dt + G(t)dw(t), \forall t \in \mathcal{R}
\]

\[
Z_k = h(x_k, t_k) + v_k
\]

where \( x(t) \) is the state vector and \( Z_k \) is the measurement vector. \( w(t) \) and \( v_k \) denote the state and measurement noise, a noise that apply to the system state variables in kalman filter process. In filtering step, the estimation of error covariance matrix is determined by:

\[
P_k = P_k^{-} - P_k^{-} H^T_k (H_k E_k + R_k)^{-1} P_k^{-}
\]

The estimated parameters by kalman filter have indicated by notation prime. and Kalman filter gain is computed as:

\[
K_k = \frac{P_k^{-} H_k^T_k (H_k E_k + R_k)^{-1}}
\]

where \( R_k \) represents the covariance matrix of the sensor noise. In prediction step, we have:

\[
\frac{dx^\prime(t)}{dt} = f(x^\prime(t), t)
\]

\[
\frac{dP^\prime(t)}{dt} = F(x^\prime(t), t)P^\prime(t) + P^\prime(t)F^T(x^\prime(t), t) + Q(t)
\]

Remark 1. Usually, in a real application, the “true” states are not available and the states are estimated from the measurement system. To design the controller based on the “estimated” states using a Kalman filter, although the choice of the assumed covariance matrices \( Q \) and \( R \) can have a significant effect on the estimation performance, it is also necessary to decrease the sampling time sufficiently. In this regard, one can observe that both parameters \( Q \) and \( R \) have a direct relation with sampling time which means that lowering the sampling time leads to a decrease in the estimation error and directs the estimated values toward the “true” states. Therefore, in this paper, in order to ensure that the “true” states converge to the desired values using the estimated states, the following conditions will be considered based on simulations:

\[
N \geq 100000; \quad \text{number of time steps},
\]

\[
dt \leq 0.0001; \quad \text{Sampling time}(s)
\]

in which, to attain more accurate results in simulations, the sampling time is defined considering a constraint on the upper limit of input frequency of the desired value (i.e. 0.5Hz in this paper). This computational method is based on trial and error strategy to minimize an ITAE cost function of estimation error.
4- Cooperative Control Strategy
In this section, the perfect state cooperative guidance controller of UAV presented in [28] will be developed to achieve a decentralized control strategy with superior results regarding state estimation. Therefore, the problem considered in this part is separated in two steps: the first step focuses on designing the basic control vectors for n quadrotors using the Moore-Penrose theory based on estimated state variables which are generated by EKBF, and the second step describes how to determine individual control vectors by SOSMC. Finally, the cooperative control algorithm can be extracted by combining the individual control vectors and the basic control vectors.

4-1- Deriving Basic Control Vectors of Cooperative Control Law
By using all force vectors of the coupled system in linear system (6), four equations for 4 quadrotors can be established as follows:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} F_{\theta}^B \cdot M_{\theta\theta}^B \cdot M_{\psi\psi}^B \cdot M_{\zeta\zeta}^B \end{bmatrix} = \dot{X}_u \]

where \( u \in \mathbb{R}^{4n} \) includes 4 control input vectors for each n quadrotor

\[ u = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\theta1} \cdot M_{\theta\theta} \cdot M_{\psi\psi} \cdot M_{\zeta\zeta} \; \ldots \; F_{\theta4} \cdot M_{\theta\theta} \cdot M_{\psi\psi} \cdot M_{\zeta\zeta} \end{bmatrix}^T \]

Now, consider the following cost function to minimize the quadrotor:

\[ J = \frac{1}{2} \int \left( x_{\text{des}} - x \right)^T \left( x_{\text{des}} - x \right) \, dt \]

By using all force vectors of the coupled system in linear system (6), four equations for 4 quadrotors can be established as follows:

\[ J = \frac{1}{2} \int \left( x_{\text{des}} - x \right)^T \left( x_{\text{des}} - x \right) \, dt \]

where \( x_{\text{des}} \) and \( x \) are the desired state and the actual state of the quadrotor, respectively.

4-2- Deriving Individual Control Vectors of Cooperative Control Law
In this section, determining individual control vectors of cooperative control strategy based on second order sliding mode technique is supposed to asymptotically perform position and attitude tracking of the quadrotors in order to generate a robust solution. Now, to complete the cooperative algorithm, using tracking errors of state variable(s), a switching sliding surface is considered for the whole subsystem (rotational or transitional). The sliding manifold based on SOSMC are chosen as follows [32, 33]:

\[ S_{\theta} = \alpha_{\theta} \left( \dot{\theta} - \dot{\theta}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\theta}} \left( \ddot{\theta} - \ddot{\theta}_{\text{des}} \right) \]
\[ S_{\psi} = \alpha_{\psi} \left( \dot{\psi} - \dot{\psi}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\psi}} \left( \ddot{\psi} - \ddot{\psi}_{\text{des}} \right) \]
\[ S_{\phi} = \alpha_{\phi} \left( \dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\phi}} \left( \ddot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi}_{\text{des}} \right) \]
\[ S_{\zeta} = \alpha_{\zeta} \left( \dot{\zeta} - \dot{\zeta}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\zeta}} \left( \ddot{\zeta} - \ddot{\zeta}_{\text{des}} \right) \]

where \( \alpha_{\theta}, \alpha_{\psi}, \alpha_{\phi}, \alpha_{\zeta}, \alpha_{\dot{\theta}}, \alpha_{\dot{\psi}}, \alpha_{\dot{\phi}}, \alpha_{\dot{\zeta}} > 0 \). The time derivatives of the sliding manifolds of rotational subsystem are obtained as follows:

\[ \dot{S}_{\theta} = \alpha_{\theta} \left( \ddot{\theta} - \ddot{\theta}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\theta}} \left( \dddot{\theta} - \dddot{\theta}_{\text{des}} \right) \]
\[ \dot{S}_{\psi} = \alpha_{\psi} \left( \ddot{\psi} - \ddot{\psi}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\psi}} \left( \dddot{\psi} - \dddot{\psi}_{\text{des}} \right) \]
\[ \dot{S}_{\phi} = \alpha_{\phi} \left( \ddot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\phi}} \left( \dddot{\phi} - \dddot{\phi}_{\text{des}} \right) \]
\[ \dot{S}_{\zeta} = \alpha_{\zeta} \left( \ddot{\zeta} - \ddot{\zeta}_{\text{des}} \right) + \alpha_{\dot{\zeta}} \left( \dddot{\zeta} - \dddot{\zeta}_{\text{des}} \right) \]

Therefore, four basic control vectors for n cooperative quadrotors obtained according (16) and in the in the next section the individual control vectors, \( \left[ F_{\theta1}^{des} \cdot M_{\theta\theta}^{des} \cdot M_{\psi\psi}^{des} \cdot M_{\zeta\zeta}^{des} \right] \), will be established. Finally, by combination of two set of mentioned vectors, the cooperative control vectors will be defined as it follows:

\[ U_{\text{coop}} = \left[ U_{\theta1}, U_{\psi1}, U_{\phi1}, U_{\zeta1}, U_{\theta2}, U_{\psi2}, U_{\phi2}, U_{\zeta2}, \ldots, U_{\theta4}, U_{\psi4}, U_{\phi4}, U_{\zeta4} \right] \]

(17)
By generating \( \dot{S}_i = -\kappa_i \text{sgn}(S_i) - Y S_i \), \((\dot{x} = \dot{\hat{x}}, \dot{\hat{y}}, \dot{\hat{z}})\) and by considering \( \ell \in \{4, 5, 6\} \), the associated control inputs are defined as:
\[
U_i = \mu_i \left[ \frac{a_{ij}}{\alpha_{ij}} \left( \dot{x}_j - \hat{x} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_{ij}} \left[ \kappa_i \text{sgn}(S_i) + Y S_i \right] - s_i \right], \quad \ell \in \{4, 5, 6\}
\]
(22)
where \( \kappa_i, \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}, Y, \ell > 0 \). In addition, the terms \( \mu_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i \) are:
\[
\mu_i = \left( \cos \psi \sin \theta - \sin \psi \right), \quad \kappa_i = \cos \theta \cos \psi,
\]
(23)
To synthesize a stabilizing control law by second order sliding mode, the sufficient condition for stability of the system, i.e. \( \dot{V}(S_i) = S_i S_i - \ell S_i \), where \( \ell \) is the positive value (\( \ell > 0 \)), must be verified. The time derivative of \( \dot{V}(S_i) \) satisfies \( S_i S_i < 0 \). Thus, a Lyapunov function can be written as \( \dot{V} = \sum S_i S_i \) that one can describe as:
\[
\dot{V}(S_i) = \frac{1}{2} \left( S_i^2 S_i + S_i^2 S_i + S_i^2 S_i + S_i^2 S_i + S_i^2 S_i + S_i^2 S_i \right)
\]
(24)
The chosen law for the attractive surface is the time derivative of
\[
\dot{V}(S_i) = -\kappa_i S_i - Y S_i \leq 0
\]
(25)
Therefore, according to (25) the time derivative final Lyapunov function \( \dot{V}(S_i) \) is as:
\[
\dot{V}(S_i) = -\kappa_i \left[ \dot{x}_j - \hat{x} \right] + \frac{1}{\alpha_{ij}} \left[ \kappa_i \text{sgn}(S_i) + Y S_i \right] - s_i \right], \quad \ell \in \{4, 5, 6\}
\]
(27)
and guarantees asymptotic stability of closed loop system.

**Remark 2.** Note that signum function which appears in second order sliding mode controllers and filters can cause chattering phenomena, or high-frequency oscillations of control variables. This problem can be avoided by replacing discontinuous signum function with an appropriate continuous approximation such as \( \text{sgn}(s) = \tanh(s / \ell) \).

Finally, individual control vectors in (20) and (22) that are generated using SOSMC method, represented by parameters which are equivalent of \( (F^{\text{des}}, M^{\text{des}}, M^{\text{des}}, M^{\text{des}}) \). Thus the individual control vectors for cooperative strategy are defined as follows:
\[
F^{\text{des}}_i = \frac{m}{\mu_i} \left[ a_{ij} \left( \dot{z}_j - \hat{z} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_{ij}} \left[ \kappa_i \text{sgn}(S_i) + Y S_i \right] - s_i \right], \quad \ell \in \{4, 5, 6\}
\]
(28)
Thus, under the control laws extracted from SOSMC approach \((U_i, U_i, U_i, U_i)\), all the system state trajectories can reach at and stay on the associated sliding surfaces, respectively, and guarantees asymptotic stability of closed loop system.

**4-3- Final Cooperative Control Vectors**

Now, according to Eq. (17) the cooperative control vectors for each independently designed quadrotor can be described as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
U_{i, i}^{\text{coop}} &= U_{i, i}^{\text{des}} + U_{i, i}^{\text{des}} + U_{i, i}^{\text{des}} + U_{i, i}^{\text{des}} \\
U_{i, i}^{\text{coop}} &= U_{i, i}^{\text{des}} + U_{i, i}^{\text{des}} + U_{i, i}^{\text{des}} + U_{i, i}^{\text{des}}
\end{align*}
\]
(31)

**5- Simulation Results**

In order to illustrate the efficiency of the designed controller, a set of numerical simulations are carried out. The parameters for simulation of a sample cooperative quadrotors model are set as shown in Table 1 [30] and the factor of weight of control inputs is set as \( \frac{\Delta_n}{\Delta_n} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( m )</td>
<td>Mass of quadrotor</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l )</td>
<td>Arm length</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_r )</td>
<td>Rotor inertia</td>
<td>3.35 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>kg m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_x )</td>
<td>X inertia</td>
<td>2.16 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>kg m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_y )</td>
<td>Y inertia</td>
<td>2.16 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>kg m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_z )</td>
<td>Z inertia</td>
<td>4.52 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>kg m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>Thrust factor</td>
<td>2.98 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>N s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>Drag factor</td>
<td>2.25 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>N m s²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>gravity</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>m/s²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is considered as a diagonal matrix of Genetic algorithm (GA) is a heuristic search
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Fig. 3. Artificial wind gust generating setup

According to Item(4) Also in Eqs. (7)-(11) of the EKBF estimator, \( Q(t) \) is considered as a diagonal matrix of form \( Q=\begin{bmatrix}10^3*1_{x1}\end{bmatrix}^{10^4*1_{x2}} \). \( H(\dot{x},t)=[I_{12*12}] \) and \( R_x=[10^5*1_{x3}\end{bmatrix}^{10^4*1_{x4}} \). Furthermore, state noise is set as \( w(t)=\text{wgn}[10^1,1,10^4,\text{linear}] \) and measurement noise is assumed as \( v_i=\text{wgn}[10^6,1,1,\text{linear}] \) and the Jacobean matrix is set as:

\[
F(\dot{x},t)=\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\alpha = \frac{I_x-I_z}{I_x}, \alpha_z = \frac{I_y-I_z}{I_y}, \alpha_3 = \frac{I_y-I_x}{I_y}
\]

To investigate the effectiveness of proposed cooperative controller, two cases are considered. The first case of grasping is a payload transporting by cooperative quadrotors from different initial conditions of each UAVs for point-to-point path tracking. The second case considers grasping and then manipulation by tracking a predefined trajectory.

Hence, the wind field effect as a destructive factor is shown in

Table.2 Controller parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{i1} )</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>( \Upsilon )</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>( \Upsilon )</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{i1} )</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>( \Upsilon )</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>( \Upsilon )</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>( \Upsilon )</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{i1} )</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>( \alpha_{i2} )</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>( \Upsilon )</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table.3 quadrotors’ initial position conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>quadrotor1</th>
<th>quadrotor2</th>
<th>quadrotor3</th>
<th>quadrotor4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x_0 ) = 0</td>
<td>( y_0 ) = 0</td>
<td>( z_0 ) = 0</td>
<td>( x_0 ) = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_0 ) = 0</td>
<td>( y_0 ) = 2.5</td>
<td>( y_0 ) = 2.5</td>
<td>( x_0 ) = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( z_0 ) = 0</td>
<td>( z_0 ) = 2.5</td>
<td>( z_0 ) = 2.5</td>
<td>( z_0 ) = 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. The wind velocity component \( w(t) \) is expressed with respect to an inertial coordinate frame \( x, y, z \). This disturbance has a time varying value which is introduced as follows:

\[
\dot{\omega}(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
0.5\sin(2\pi t) \\
0.2\sin(2\pi t) \\
0.06\sin(2\pi t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Remark 3. Genetic algorithm (GA) is a heuristic search method used in artificial intelligence and computing. It is used for finding optimized solutions to search problems based on the theory of natural selection and evolutionary biology. After an initial population is randomly generated, the algorithm evolves the following three basics: reproduction, crossover, and mutation. The most important feature of this method is a way to transform the system output to the cost function. This cost function is as it follows:

\[
J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \left( \dot{x}^T(t) \dot{x}(t) + U^T(t) \ddot{u}(t) \right) dt
\]

The GA operator values are determined after several experiments. In this regard, the population size is set as 160, crossover is considered scatter and its value is 0.6, mutation is set as 0.4 and type of probability is chosen as Gaussian. Also \( \eta \) and \( \gamma \) are selected as \( \eta = 10^6 \times 10^{12} \), \( \gamma = 10^6 \times 10^{12} \). The parameters of proposed controller, obtained by GA, have been presented in Table 2.

Fig. 4 Tracking simulation results of desired trajectories of position
5-1 Point to point path tracking
Initial conditions of Euler angles of each quadrotor are set as 
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \phi \theta \psi \end{bmatrix} = [0.3, 0.5, 0.6] \]. For the first simulation desired point configuration is 
\[ \begin{bmatrix} x, y, z \end{bmatrix} = [2, 2, 2] \]. The external disturbance 
that is wind field effect is considered according Eq.(34). The payload on the end-effector is considered 2.5 kg 
while the controller is designed for 1.80 kg which is 
the mass of end-effector in order to examine the 
efficiency of the controller in presence of payload uncertainty. 
The position and attitude angle responses of all quadrotors in the 
presence of wind field appear in Fig.4 and 5. Once more, the reference trajectories 
are tracked within the first 5s. Also, Fig.5 demonstrates the convergence of all the quadrotors attitude angles to 0 in a 
short time. The position tracking errors of each quadrotor are also shown in Fig.6 which illustrates that the position 
errors converge to zero in a reasonable time. Moreover, the fast convergence obtained for the tracking error ensures the 
solution of the quadrotor path tracking problem. The top view 
and global trajectory of quadrotors in 3D space are shown 
in Fig.7 and 8. Therefore, the numerical simulation results 
for point-to-point path tracking in a three dimensional space 
showed the superior performance of the controller proposed 
in this study.

5-2 Predefined trajectory tracking
A set of numerical simulations are executed to evaluate the 
efficiency of the controller proposed for a case that end-
effector must track a predefined trajectory. The important aim 
of the simulation in this subsection is to track the following 
desired trajectory by the group of quadrotors:
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \dot{y} \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = 0.05 \sin\left(\frac{t + \pi}{2}\right), \quad \dot{\phi} = -0.05, \quad \dot{\psi} = -0.032t + 0.8
\] (36)
The position and attitude angle responses of the system in 
presence of wind field effect in predefined trajectory tracking 
are shown in Figs.9 and 10. The proposed controller stabilizes 
the attitude angle at 0 rad in a short time. It can be seen that 
the control inputs are smooth and free of any chattering. 
The tracking trajectory of all quadrotors appear in Fig.10. It 
is shown that even though the position and attitude of the 
quadrotors are affected by the abruptly changed reference 
positions and angles, the controller is able to drive all these 
state variables back to the new reference position and angle 
within seconds which is a mandatory characteristic to control 
a system such as the quadcopter. The top view trajectory 
tracking and trajectory of all quadrotors in 3D space are 
shown in Fig.11 and 12. We see that the system performs well 
and tracks the desired trajectory in three-dimensions. Results 
indicate that quadrotors lift up and down the object, and 
stable grasp has been achieved. Moreover, the aerodynamic 
forces and moments and air drag are taken into account in 
the controller design which demonstrate the robustness and 
effectiveness of the designed cooperative control scheme. 
The behavior of the sliding variables, shown in Fig.13, follows 
the expectations as all these variables converge to their sliding
surfaces. Moreover, the position and velocity tracking errors of the system state variables are perfectly explained by the fluctuations of these sliding variables. Finally, Fig. 14 shows the stability rotor speed response of a Quadrotor during hovering. The control inputs are smooth and the chattering phenomenon is decreased using the proposed controller. The amplitudes of the control inputs are also practically realizable and small. In a practical sense, the energy reduction enforces a longer autonomous flying period for the quadrotor which is still a major problem for this kind of mobile robots.

6- Conclusion and Future Works
In this paper, the problem of controlling multiple quadrotors that cooperatively manipulate and transport a payload in three dimensions was properly addressed. An optimal decentralized control algorithm based on second order sliding mode controller (SOSC) approach using extended kalman-bucy filter (EKBF) as an observer has been proposed for a group of cooperative quadrotors. First, a model for a single quadrotor and then a team of quadrotors rigidly attached to a payload were developed. Individual robot control laws were introduced with respect to the payload to stabilize the payload along three-dimensional trajectories. This method offers an efficient and systematic procedure to solve a nonlinear closed-loop optimal robust control problem. In order to validate the efficacy and optimality of the proposed method, simulation results were presented. Simulation results suggest that our method demonstrates better performance in the presence of external disturbances and parametric uncertainties such as wind field effects. Qualities of high accuracy, small position errors, minimum influence of the nonlinearities on the performance of cooperative UAVs, and versatility to a variety of maneuvers are other advantages of our proposed method. As a future work, the authors seek to develop a multi-stage sliding controller to maintain the states at desired values while using the Load Sharing approach among multiple agents in order to improve design performance gained in this paper.
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