Peaking Attenuation in High-Gain Observers Using Adaptive Saturation: Application to a Ball and Wheel System
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ABSTRACT

Despite providing robustness, high-gain observers impose a peaking phenomenon, which may cause instability, on the system states. In this paper, an adaptive saturation is proposed to attenuate the undesirable mentioned phenomenon in high-gain observers. A real-valued and differentiable sigmoid function is considered as the saturating element whose parameters (height and slope) are adaptively tuned. The corresponding feedback and adaptation laws are derived based on the Lyapunov and LaSalle theorems to guarantee the asymptotic stability property for the closed-loop system’s equilibrium point. Compared to the conventional high-gain observers which suffer from states’ peaking, it is possible to increase the observer’s gain, up to a higher level, under which not only all system states and the adaptive saturation elements remain stable, but also robustness is reinforced in the presence of uncertainties and/or non-similarities in the system and observer’s dynamics, respectively. Both theoretical analysis and simulation results confirm the efficiency of the proposed scheme.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades, a variety of laboratory experimental setup such as ball and beam and inverted pendulum, have been built for some researches in nonlinear systems. These systems have attracted researchers’ attention because of their inherent nonlinearity, open loop instability, under-actuation, etc. Therefore, many works have been done on such systems. In this article, a ball and wheel system is considered, whose schematic overview is depicted in Figure 1. For the purpose of controlling the mentioned system, authors of [1] measured angular positions by designing sensors. But, the angular velocities were measured from the angular displacement traveled per unit time. In this article, an output feedback is used to prevent such measurements.

In the ground of some control systems, measuring all state variables are neither affordable nor possible. In this article, output feedback is applied to estimate state variables using a high-gain observer. There are two advantages in this regard. First, there is no need to measure all state variables. Second, a high-gain observer makes it possible to consider unmodeled dynamics because of its robustness. The theory of high-gain observers has been developed over two last decades. It was used for designing robust observers in linear systems [2]. Earlier works in high-gain observer design for nonlinear systems started in the late 1980s [3]. High-gain observers are also developed to estimate system states containing time delay [4]. The authors of [5] developed a high-gain observer structure in the presence of sampled output measurement. Finite time observation method is proposed in [6].

Khalil et al. have considered a situation where due to absence of Lipchitz condition, the observer gain may become too large resulting in the instability of closed-loop system. This instability is explained by peaking phenomenon which means that a sufficiently large gain causes impulsive behavior in the response. The effect of peaking phenomenon on instability is also considered by Sussmann and Kokotovic [7] in high-gain state feedback. In the meantime, this phenomenon had been considered in the linear systems in [8]; however, the effect of peaking on nonlinear systems was studied in [9] for the first time, where it was suggested that the designed controller should be a globally bounded function of the estimated variables to be saturated during the peaking period. The work by Esfandiari and Khalil [9] brought attention to the peaking phenomenon as an important feature of high-gain observers. It showed that the interaction of peaking with nonlinearities could induce finite escape time. In particular, in the lack of global Lipschitz conditions, high-gain observers could destabilize the closed-loop system as the observer gain is driven sufficiently high. Since, the dynamics of high-gain observer are much faster than those of the closed loop dynamics; the peaking period is very short compared with the simulation period. This separation of time scales is used in [9] to prove stability of the closed-loop system with output feedback controller. Shortly after publishing [9], Teer et al. used its ideas to prove the first nonlinear separation principle and develop a set of tools for semiglobal stabilization of nonlinear systems [14]. In [10], a nonlinear gain is used for having a suitable trade-off between higher observer gain in transient period to increase convergence rate and decrease the effects of uncertainties and/or non-similarities on error.

In this article, an adaptive structure is proposed for saturating the control signal, which not only attenuates peaking phenomenon to make the closed-loop system unstable but also results in a better performance in the sense of energy of the error between the actual states and their estimations. Moreover, since the system’s dynamical model is adopted from [1] in which no disturbance is assumed, to examine the proposed method in the presence of uncertainties, the observer’s dynamics are simplified, as further elaborated, to show robustness feature of the proposed high-gain observer. In fact, some parts of dynamics are overlooked in the observer’s structure though they should contribute in the response. Despite simplifying dynamics, deliberate elimination of some parts of observer’s dynamics is successfully compensated due to robustness provided by high-gain property.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the model of the system and its parameters are presented. In the first part of Section 3, a control law is given using the feedback linearization technique. The structure of the high-gain observer is presented in the second part of Section 3. The last part of Section 3 is dedicated to designing an adaptive saturation structure for controlling signal restriction in order to prevent peaking phenomenon. Then, it is shown that the proposed method results in an asymptotically stable closed-loop system. In Section 4, the simulation results concerning cases with adaptive and non-adaptive saturation elements are presented. Finally the conclusion is made in Section 5.

2. MODEL DESCRIPTION

In [1], the dynamical model of the Ball and Wheel system is derived using Euler-Lagrange method. Figure 1
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illustrates the basic features of the system. There are some assumptions for this model. First, the coefficient friction is large enough for the ball to just roll on the wheel with no slip. Second, the ball is always in contact with the wheel. The mentioned model reads below:

\[ x' = f(x) + g(x)u \]  

(1)

where

\[ f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ \alpha x_1 + b \sin x_1 \\ x_4 \\ p x_4 + g \sin x_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ c \alpha \beta + \gamma \phi \\ 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix} \]  

(2)

And the parameters \( a, b, c, p, q \) and \( r \) are defined below:

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= -\frac{2r_cK_a^2}{R_s(7I_w + 2\zeta I_m)\tau + \tau_e} \\
b &= \frac{g(5I_w + 2\zeta I_m)}{(7I_w + 2\zeta I_m)\tau + \tau_e} \\
c &= \frac{2r_cK_a}{R_s(7I_w + 2\zeta I_m)\tau + \tau_e} \\
p &= -\frac{7K_a^{1/2}}{R_s(7I_w + 2\zeta I_m)} \\
q &= \frac{2r_cK_a}{(7I_w + 2\zeta I_m)\tau + \tau_e} \\
r &= \frac{7K_a}{R_s(7I_w + 2\zeta I_m)}
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where the state vector reads \( x = [q \quad \dot{q} \quad \phi \quad \dot{\phi}] \) and Table I shows nominal values of the corresponding parameters.

3. OBSERVER DESIGN

In this section, a high-gain observer is proposed with an adaptive saturating element in order to attenuate the peaking phenomenon.

A. An Ideal Differential System

As considered in [1], the suitable output to make the system (1) input-to-state linearizable is as follows:

\[ y = \alpha x_1 - cx_3 \]  

(4)

It is worth noting that the output (4) is completely measurable. The diffeomorphism transformation which linearizes the system is

\[ Z = T(x) = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ L_y h \\ L_y^2 h \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1 - cx_3 \\ \alpha x_1 - c x_4 \\ (\beta r - c q) \sin x_1 \end{bmatrix} \]  

(5)

TABLE 1. THE PHYSICAL PARAMETERS OF SYSTEM, TAKEN FROM [1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Nominal Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moment of Inertia of the Wheel</td>
<td>1.71x10^{-3}kg - m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the Wheel ( r_w )</td>
<td>0.075m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of the Ball ( m_b )</td>
<td>0.042kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the Ball ( r_d )</td>
<td>0.011m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor armature resistance ( R_a )</td>
<td>0.6558Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Constant ( K_a )</td>
<td>0.0662N-m/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( L_y h \) is the Lie derivative of \( h \) with respect to \( f \) [11]. Calculating \( \det \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) \) determines \( T(x) \) is a diffeomorphic transformation in the ranges of \( \tau \in \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \) or \( z_3 \in (br - cq), (br - cq) \). The system under such transformation is represented through following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_1 &= z_2 \\
\dot{z}_2 &= z_3 \\
\dot{z}_3 &= z_4 \\
\dot{z}_4 &= L_y h(x) + L_y^2 h(x) u = \Phi(x,u)
\end{align*}
\]  

(6)

where \( L_y^2 h(x) \) and \( L_y^3 h \) are given below:
The system can be linearized as \( \dot{Z}(t) = AZ(t) \) and may be stabilized by the state feedback in the form of:

\[
u(t) = \frac{1}{L_i L_f h(z)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4} K_i \z_i - L_i h(z) \right)
\]

(8)

where \( K_i \) are chosen such that all eigenvalues of the resulting linear system have negative real parts. The closed loop under (8) is called the ideal differentiation system [12]. It determines the limiting behavior of the closed loop system under high-gain observer whose gain is chosen sufficiently large.

High-gain Observer

The normal form (6) is used to design the high-gain observer. The observer structure is considered in the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_1 &= \dot{z}_2 \\
\dot{z}_2 &= \dot{z}_3 \\
\dot{z}_3 &= \dot{z}_4 \\
\dot{z}_4 &= h_i(y - \bar{z}_i) + \Phi_0(\bar{z}, \bar{u})
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

Where the observer gain is selected as

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 \\
\beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4
\end{bmatrix} \epsilon^T
\]

(10)

And \( \epsilon \) is a small positive parameter [11]. As high-gain observers can tolerate uncertainties, it may allow us to wisely simplify the observer dynamics and define \( \Phi_0(\bar{z}, \bar{u}) \) below:

\[
\Phi_0(\bar{z}, \bar{u}) = c(br - cq) \cos \left( \arcsin \left( \frac{\bar{z}_3}{br - cq} \right) \right)
\]

(11)

where \( \bar{u} \) is the control signal in the observer dynamics i.e., \( \bar{u}(\bar{z}, t) \) drives dynamics (9) to the state variables (6).

Although, some parts of state dynamics, specifically \( L_i h(z) \) are neglected in \( \Phi_0(\bar{z}, \bar{u}) \), destructive impacts of this discrepancy can be attenuated as further analyzed. If error is defined as \( \xi_i = z_i - \bar{z}_i ; i = 1:4 \) and the control signals \( u \) and \( \bar{u} \) are substituted in the ideal differentiation system and the estimation dynamics respectively, then the error dynamics can be read in the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi}_1 &= -h_1 \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\
\dot{\xi}_2 &= -h_2 \xi_2 + \xi_3 \\
\dot{\xi}_3 &= -h_3 \xi_3 + \xi_4 \\
\dot{\xi}_4 &= -h_4 \xi_4 + \delta(z, \bar{z})
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

where \( \delta(z, \bar{z}) = \Phi(z) - \Phi_0(\bar{z}) \). Considering the structure of (10), one may come up with the following transfer function from \( \delta \) to \( \bar{z} \)

\[
T(z) = \frac{1}{\left[ \alpha_1(\alpha_1^2) + \alpha_2(\alpha_2^2) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3^2) + \alpha_4(\alpha_4^2) + \beta_1(\beta_1^2) + \beta_2(\beta_2^2) + \beta_3(\beta_3^2) + \beta_4(\beta_4^2) \right]}
\]

(13)

which tends to zero as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), and therefore the error dynamics (12) may converge to zero by choosing \( h_i ; i = 1:4 \) in such a way that the resulting linear dynamics become stable.

Fig. 2. The block diagram of overall system with adaptive saturation element.

Also, as shown in [11], reducing \( \epsilon \) increases the convergence rate of the error dynamics to the order of \( O(\epsilon) \) after its transient period, where \( O(.) \) shows the order of magnitude defined in [11]; however, during this period, due to possible large value of control signal, the estimation process may experience a peaking phenomenon as described in [9]. To overcome the undesirable effect of the mentioned phenomenon, saturation of the control signal is suggested in [13]. In the next subsection, a method is proposed to construct the saturation element by appropriate adaptive laws. It is then shown that the
equilibrium of the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable. To study the performance of high-gain observer, a reference system based on the ideal differentiation system (6) is considered.

Saturation with Adaptive Structure

As explained earlier, saturating the control signal (8) is needed to prevent peaking phenomenon. Since the saturation function has a hard nonlinearity nature, one has to analyze the system stability through circle criteria or absolute stability theorem. In the meantime, in order to derive the corresponding adaptive laws, the differentiability of all elements is needed. As a result, to alleviate the mentioned difficulties, a sigmoid function is used instead as follows:

$$\dot{u} = \beta \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-v}} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

(14)

As illustrated in Figure 2, the high-gain observer estimates the state variables of the system, then $\tilde{u}$ is computed based on (8) in which the states’ estimations (9) are substituted instead of the state variables below:

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{1}{L_x L_y} h(z) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2} K_z \dot{z}_i - L_y h(z) \right)$$

(15)

Hence, $\tilde{u}$ is saturated by the adaptive saturation element and $\dot{u}$ (its saturated version) is applied to the system. To abbreviate notations $\varphi \equiv L_y h(x)$ and $\psi \equiv L_x L_y h(x)$ are defined and the parameters $\beta$ and $\eta$ read the height and slope of the saturation element, respectively. As, $E$ chosen small to suppress malicious effects of $\delta(z, \dot{z})$, the observer’s gain (10) is large enough for the error dynamics to quickly converge to zero and therefore fast convergence of the estimation dynamics (9) to the system’s state variables (6) is assumed. So, we can indeed consider stability properties of the state variables in presence of the adaptive saturation element by the following Lyapunov candidate:

$$V(Z) = \frac{1}{2} Z^T P Z + \frac{1}{2 \gamma} \beta^2$$

(16)

where $\gamma > 0, V : D \to R$ with $D \subseteq R^n$, $Z$ is state vector of the transformed system (6), and $P = P^T$ is the solution of the following Lyapunov equation:

$$PA + A^T P = -Q$$

(17)

where $Q = Q^T$ may be set to the identity matrix $I_{n \times n}$. Time derivative of the Lyapunov function is computed as follows:

$$\dot{V}(x) = p_u \dot{z}_i + p_v \dot{z}_j + p_w \dot{z}_k + \dot{\varphi} + \dot{\psi} + \dot{\hat{\beta}} \frac{1}{2 \gamma} \beta^2$$

(18)

If the term $\frac{1}{\gamma} \beta \eta^2$ is added to (18), then:

$$\dot{V}(x) = p_u \dot{z}_i + p_v \dot{z}_j + p_w \dot{z}_k + \dot{\varphi} + \dot{\psi} + \dot{\hat{\beta}} \frac{1}{2 \gamma} \beta^2$$

(19)

where $\beta > 0$. An adaptation law for $\beta$ and a feedback law for $\eta$ may be considered as follows:

$$\dot{\beta} = \gamma \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \eta + \frac{1}{\gamma} (p_u \dot{z}_i + p_v \dot{z}_j + p_w \dot{z}_k, \dot{\varphi} + \dot{\psi}), \frac{1}{1 + e^{-v}} \right)$$

(20a)

$$\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\eta} \left( \frac{a}{\cos \left( \arcsin \left( \frac{z_i}{br-cq} \right) \right)} \right) + p_u (br-cq) \left( \frac{z_i}{\cos \left( \arcsin \left( \frac{z_i}{br-cq} \right) \right)} \right)$$

(20b)

with the mentioned selections, the time derivative of the Lyapunov function gets the following negative semi-definite form:

$$\dot{V}(x) = -p_u \dot{z}_i \left( \frac{z_i}{(br-cq) \cos \left( \arcsin \left( \frac{z_i}{br-cq} \right) \right)} \right)$$

(21)

The dynamical model of the ball and wheel system (1) with the specified output in (4) asymptotically converges to the ideal differentiation system (6) and (8) using the
output feedback structure (9) and the control signal (14) saturated by the Sigmoid function whose structure is adaptively tuned by (19) in which the estimated states $\hat{z}_i$ are substituted instead of the real states $z_i$. The reason maybe stated as follows:

Proof: Referring to LaSalle’s invariance theorem [11], let $E$ be the set of all points in $\Omega$ (a compact positively invariant subset of $D$ where $V(x) = 0$). Let $M$ be the largest invariant set in $E$. Then, every solution starting $\Omega$ approaches $M$ as $t \to \infty$. It is easy to show that the largest invariant set in this problem is the point $(C;0;0;0)$ where $C$ is a bounded value.

4. RESULTS

The values of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are set to 4; 13.83; 19.66; 20.48, respectively. Consequently, eigenvalues of error dynamics are placed on $-1 \pm j0.4151; -1 \pm j0.4133$. The parameters $K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4$ (coefficients of linear parts of $\tilde{u}$) are set to $-4, -13.83, -19.66$ and $-20.48$ respectively. In the reminder of this section, we show the better performance of the proposed technique compared with fixed (non-adaptive) saturation. Then, the parameter $\varepsilon$ is decreased until the high-gain observer with fixed saturation element tends unstable. All simulations are run with the step size of $10^{-4}$ and initial condition $\hat{\varepsilon} = [0.01 0 0 0]^T$.

A. Performance Results

In this section, all simulations are performed with $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Figure 3 shows $\dot{\theta}_1, \dot{\theta}_i$ with unsaturated control signal and $\hat{\theta}_i$ with the adaptive and non-adaptive saturation element. Figure 4 compares the energy of the error $\int_0^\infty (\theta_i - \hat{\theta}_i)^2 dt$ using the mentioned method showing that the proposed technique has a better performance in the sense of the mentioned index. In order to indicate the superiority of using the adaptive saturation element for all three methods and positive effect of using adaptive saturation on reducing the peaking phenomenon, Figure 5 shows $J = \int_0^\infty \hat{\theta}_i^2 dt$ for each three methods. As can be observed, the proposed method has the minimum peak among them.

B. Stability Results

It was found that below of the critical value of $\varepsilon = 0.065$, the high-gain observer with the non-adaptive saturation element becomes unstable. It is worth noting that through the unstable regime, ball drops from the wheel at $t = 0.012s$ Figure 6 shows $\theta_i$ and $\hat{\theta}_i$ when the adaptive structure for saturating control signal is used at the critical value of $\varepsilon$. As can be seen, $\hat{\theta}_i$ tracks $\theta_i$ perfectly and the performance is maintained while other methods fail. Control signal is depicted in Figures 7 and the variation of the parameters of the adaptive saturation element, i.e. $\beta$ and $\eta$ are illustrated in Figure 8.
CONCLUSION

The design process of adaptive saturation structure for attenuating peaking phenomenon in high-gain observers was addressed. The idea was successfully applied to controlling a Ball and Wheel system. By utilizing the Lyapunov stability theorem, the adaptation laws for tuning a smooth function as the saturation element were derived in a manner to make the time-derivative of the Lyapunov function negative semi-definite, where the asymptotic stability could be inferred through the LaSalle’s invariance theorem. In high-gain observers, the small positive parameter $\varepsilon$, whose reduction increases the convergence rate of the estimation error dynamics, may be viewed as a robustness tuning measure. It is worth noting, however, that choosing smaller $\varepsilon$ may throw the system states outside the domain of attraction leading to system instability. It was shown that in comparison with the conventional scheme of the high-gain observer, i.e., with a fixed (non-adaptive) saturation element, the proposed scheme may tolerate a smaller $\varepsilon$. This means that the proposed approach may provide a higher robustness for high-gain observers.
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